

Load Dispatch Technique for Reducing NOX Emission from Thermal Power Plant

S. R. Vyas

*Research Scholar, Mewar University, Chhitorgrah. India
sanjayvyas_09@yahoo.co.in*

Dr. Rajeev Gupta

*Dean EC Dept., University College of Engg. RTU, Kota. India
rajeev_eck@yahoo.com*

Abstract

Reduction in the emission of the NOX gases from power system operation is the very giant task of pollution control system. There are so many methods are used for the reduction of the NOX emission from the power plant. All the methods require so many equipment and additional arrangement for the reduction in NOX emission from the power plant. On the other hand if we reduce the emission with arraigning load in proper schedule than there are no additional arrangement and equipment require. In this method we can use the optimum output in terms of emission from the different power plant. Overall emissions reduce for the given output of power with same equipment by load dispatch scheduling.

Keywords: Emission constraint, Scheduling, Load dispatch.

Nomenclature

P _{gi}	Generation from plant i
a _i	NOX coefficients
b _i	NOX coefficients
c _i	NOX coefficients
NG	Number of generator

1. INTRODUCTION:-

Power generation system is the mirror for any developing country. Any development in country is directly related with the development in the power system generation and increase in the power plant. There are different types of power plants are used for the electrical power generation. In India major part of total electrical power generation is form the thermal power plant. Now with the development number of power plant must be increased to fulfil the requirement of load. But due to this increase so many problems arise. Major problem is the pollution from the power plant. In thermal power plant there are so many factors related with the pollution. Greenhouse gases emission from the thermal

power plants take main part in air pollution. NOX gas creates major effect on the environment among the all flue gases generated from the thermal power plant. So reduction in the amount of NOX emission from the thermal power plant is the major task for the pollution control board and authority with the same electrical power generation. Now there are many filter and accessories are used from the reduction in the NOX emission from the thermal power plant. All these arrangements require additional equipment so overall cost is also increased with this additional modification. Now in advance management system emission can be reduce by the proper load arrangement among the all power thermal power plant units which are connected in the same grid. In this paper this load dispatch used for the reduction of NOX gas emission from the thermal power plant.

2. PROBLEM FORMULATION

A three-generator system has been considered for the load dispatch for the reduction in NOX emission. General equation for the emission calculation for the individual power plant unit is as per given below.

$$F_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{NG} (a_i P_{g_i}^2 + b_i P_{g_i} + c_i) \quad \text{Kg./h}$$

Where a_i, b_i and c_i are NOX coefficients and NG is the number of generators and F₁ is total NOX emission from the each thermal power unit. Our requirement is to minimize the value for the F₁ for the each plant at given. At different value of load generating output of plant may be differing but the overall value for the F₁ must be minimal. So our problem is to minimize the value of F₁ with load. For the above there are so many methods has been used.

3. METHODOLOGY

Different method for the minimization of emission is as used with different computerised model. Here we use Evolutionary Method. Evolutionary Programming has been used in the field of design search and optimization more thoroughly after the exposure. There are a quite number of data that has shown the

implementation of economic dispatch using classical Evolutionary Programming. Mainly, the interest of using classical Evolutionary Programming as the method for the economic dispatch problem is because of its simplicity to GA where Evolutionary Programming does not involve any special coding. Real number valued is used in the process. Secondly, Evolutionary Programming does not impose any alteration of the objective function or constraints restriction. Hence, the transfer of the problem into algorithm is fairly simplified. For the purposes of this study, types of Evolutionary Programming to be used are as per given.

3.1 General Evolutionary Programming

This program used for standard calculation and mutation. Normal Distribution is used in General Evolutionary Programming method. Selection of Mutation is on the base of random population. In GEP for the generation of offspring Gaussian or normalized random variables are undertaken (Rambabu, et al. 2011).

For local optimal solution GEP performs better as it takes small steps to find the global optimum solution if it lies within the local neighborhood region. Due to its limitation of searching global solution in the local neighborhood region, it has a slow convergence rate to the optimal solution if it lies far from the local search area. It can also be implemented into some unimodal functions and multimodal functions with some local minima as it provides fine tuning to find the global optimum solution.

3.2 Fast Evolutionary Programming

Evolutionary programming technique using Cauchy mutation operator for the mutation or the generation of offspring is termed as FEP. Fast evolutionary programming performs more efficiently and relative have more strength to find the optimal solution. As the step size of FEP is larger, simple and straightforward to find a global optimal solution (Rajsomshekhar, et al. 2009).

So it performs more efficiently and faster to find the globally optimal solution to multimodal optimization problems. Since its step size is large to find the globally optimal solution, but it is only effective at the start of evolution to find a solution as the global optimal solution lies far away from starting search point. As the evolution proceeds for the solution, it becomes slow or there may also be chance of selecting a less optimal solution as the global solution lies very near in the searching area (Ling, et al. 2011). Figure 3 depicts that Cauchy mutation operator generates offspring further away from main population or parents as compared to Gaussian mutation operator due to its long flat tails.

So Cauchy mutation has more probability of escaping from local optimum and moreover a smaller hill around the center indicates that Cauchy mutation spends

less time in exploiting the local neighborhood and thus has, the weaker fine tuning ability as compared to Gaussian mutation operator in small to mid range regions.

3.3 Selective Evolutionary Programming

As position of the global optimum solution is unknown, making a choice between a selection of Cauchy and Gaussian mutation operator. So instead of switching from Gaussian to Cauchy mutation operator, the idea is to mix different search basis Cauchy and Gaussian mutations.

In SEP generate two offspring one from Gaussian mutation operator and one from Cauchy mutation operator. Therefore population size of SEP is half than that required in GEP and FEP. The Selective Evolutionary Programming uses both types of distribution in its mutation. Moreover SEP is robust and does not require no prior knowledge of the problem to be solved.

Computerized program developed for the above methods with Mat lab language. Data for the generator is as per the given below. Here we consider three units for the calculation and their maximum and minimum capacity is as per table.

Table:-1 Generator Data

No. of Generator	Generator rating in Mw.	Maximum Value in Mw.	Minimum Value in Mw.
1	210	240	90
2	210	238	85
3	120	100	20

NOX emission coefficient for the each three plants are as per given in table

Table:-2 NOX emission coefficient

Sr.No.	ai	bi	ci
1	0.006323	0.38128	80.9019
2	0.006483	0.79027	28.8249
3	0.003174	1.36061	324.1775

Loss coefficient of plant is as per given in table

Table:-3 Loss coefficient

Sr.No.	di	fi	gi
1	0.000134	0.0000176	0.000183
2	0.0000176	0.000153	0.000282
3	0.000183	0.000282	0.00162

4. RESULT:-

From running program with above data total emission for NOX can be produce. Collect total output of emission for all method which is to be studied. From the above procedure we get NOX emission for different value of generation with different methodology for the comparison. Comparison of all method at different loading condition of plant is as per given in table 4. Colum no.2 indicate total load on the power generating unit. Colum no. 3 indicates Emission of NOX with evolutionary technique. Colum no. 4 indicates Emission of NOX with Genetic technique. Colum no. 5 indicates Emission of NOX with Weighted method. Colum no. 6 indicates Emission of NOX with Classical technique.

Table:-4 Result with all method

Sr. No.	Load MW	Emission by Evolutionary Method (Kg./Hr.)	Emission by Genetic Method (Kg./Hr.)	Emission by Weighted Method (Kg./Hr.)	Emission by Classical Method (Kg./Hr.)
1	300	485	652	607	576
2	350	506	687	652	672
3	400	565	660	684	678
4	450	623	708	802	793

Comparison graph of above all method is as per shown in fig.1




Fig. 1 NOX Emission for all method

5. CONCLUSION: -

Result shows that Emission is low with the help of Evolutionary technique. In all method total output is never change but the emission of NOX gas is reduced with the proper selection of their generating station. Best result shows the lesser emission of NOX gas form the generating unit at same load. This will reduce the overall generation of NOX gas for the Power system.

REFERENCE:-

1. Abou El Ela, A.A., M.A. Abido, and S.R. Spea, "Differential evolution algorithm for emission constrained economic power dispatch problem", *Electric Power Systems Research*, Vol. 80, No. 10, pp. 1286-1292, 2010.
2. Abraham George, Dr. M. Chhanna Reddy, and Dr. A.Y Sivramakrishnan, "Multi-objective, short-term hydro thermal scheduling based on two novel search techniques", *International Journal of Engineering Science and Technology* Vol. 2, No. 12, 2010, pp. 7021-7034
3. Ashish Saini and A.K. Saxena, "Enhanced GA-Fuzzy OPF under both Normal and Contingent Operation States", *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology* Vol. 41, pp.872-880, 2008.
4. Bhattacharya, A., and P.K. Chattopadhyay." Hybrid differential evolution with biogeography-based optimization algorithm for solution of economic emission load dispatch

- problems”. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 38(11), 14001-14010, 2011.
5. Dhanalakshmi, S., et al., “Application of modified NSGA-II algorithm to Combined Economic and Emission Dispatch problem”, *International Journal of Electrical Power & amp; Energy Systems*, Vol. 3, No. 4, pp. 992-1002, 2011.
 6. G. A. Bakare, U. O. Aliyu, G. K. Venayagamoorthy, and Y. K. Shuaibupp, “Genetic algorithms based economic dispatch with application to coordination of Nigerian thermal power plants”, *International Journal on Technical and Physical Problems of Engineering*, Vol. 6, No.6, pp. 147-154, 2008.
 7. Krishna Teerth Chaturvedi, Manjaree Pandit and Laxmi Srivastava, “Particle swarm optimization with time varying acceleration coefficients for non-convex economic power dispatch”, *Electrical Power and Energy Systems* Vol. 31, pp. 249–257, 2009.
 8. L.H. Wu, Y.N. Wang, X.F. Yuan and S.W. Zhou, “Environmental/economic power dispatch problem using multi-objective differential evolution algorithm”, *Electric Power Systems Research* Vol. 80, 2010, pp. 1171–1181.